STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON)	Docket No. 2019-CP-10-01298
JAMES LANCE, JR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
VS.)	ANSWER (JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)
NATHANIEL EALEY,)	
Defendant.))	

Defendant Nathaniel Ealey ("Defendant") answers and respectfully shows unto the Court:

FOR A FIRST DEFENSE

- 1. Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 2. Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 3. Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraph 3 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 4. Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 5. Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 6. Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 7. Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 8. Defendant admits there was an accident but Defendant denies all other remaining allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Complaint.

- 9. Defendant realleges and incorporates the contents of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein verbatim.
- 10. Defendant admits there was an accident but Defendant denies all other remaining allegations of Paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
- 11. Defendant admits there was an accident but Defendant denies all other remaining allegations of Paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
- 12. Except as specifically admitted, qualified, or explained, Defendant denies the allegations and demands strict proof of each allegation.

AS AN ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Statutory Defense)

13. FURTHER ANSWERING, S.C. Code Ann. §15-38-15 applies to this lawsuit.

AS AN ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Reservation of Rights)

14. FURTHER ANSWERING, Defendant has not had an opportunity to conduct a sufficient investigation or engage in adequate discovery about the allegations of this lawsuit. Defendant gives notice of the intent to assert any further affirmative defenses that any investigation supports, including, but not limited to, defenses that the action is barred in whole or in part by any applicable statute, contract, release, covenant, or the doctrine of laches. Thus, Defendant reserves the right to amend this pleading to assert any such defenses.

AS AN ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Punitive Damages)

15. FURTHER ANSWERING, any award of punitive damages would violate the constitutional safeguards provided by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution and under the Due Process Clause of Article I, Section 3 of the South Carolina Constitution because the determination of punitive damages does not bear any reasonable relationship to the amount of actual damages, if any, suffered by or awarded.

AS AN ADDITIONAL DEFENSE

(Improper Service)

16. FURTHER ANSWERING, Defendant alleges Plaintiff has not properly served the Summons and Complaint for this lawsuit; therefore, Defendant moves pursuant to Rules 12(b)(1), 12(b)(2), 12(b)(4), and 12(b)(5) of the <u>South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure</u> to dismiss the lawsuit.

WHEREFORE, having answered, Defendant asks this Court to dismiss the Complaint, requests a trial by jury, and to grant such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

TURNER PADGET GRAHAM & LANEY P.A.

By:

William J. Horvath (SC Bar #102054)

P.O. Box 22129

Charleston, South Carolina 29413

Telephone: (843) 576-2800

Fax: (843) 577-3369

WHorvath@turnerpadget.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

October 17, 2019 Charleston, South Carolina

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON) Docket No. 2019-CP-10-01298
IAMEG LANGE ID	
JAMES LANCE, JR.,	
Plaintiff,	
vs.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
NATHANIEL EALEY,	,)
Defendant.)))
On October 77, 2019, I mailed a co	ppy of <i>Defendant's Answer</i> to:

Jonathan F. Krell Post Office Box 399 Charleston, SC 29402 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Nicole Dykes

Turner Padget

William J Horvath

E-Mail: WHorvath@turnerpadget.com Direct Dial: 843-579-8305

Direct Fax: 843-577-1661

October 17, 2019

Via Hand Delivery

Ms. Julie Armstrong Charleston County Clerk of Court 100 Broad Street, Suite 106 Charleston, South Carolina 29401

Re:

James Lance, Jr. v. Nathaniel Ealey

Docket No.:

2019-CP-10-01298

Claim No:

300704026002

TP File No:

TBD

Dear Ms. Armstrong:

We enclose the original and one copy of the Answer in this case. Please file the original and return a filed copy to me in the enclosed envelope. By copy of this letter, we are serving a copy to Plaintiff's attorney. If you have any questions or need additional information, please call me at your convenience.

Very truly yours,

TURNER ÞÁDGET GRAHAM & LANEY, P.A.

William J Horvath

WH/nld Enclosures

Cc: Jonathan F. Krell